



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/286,874	04/06/1999	FRANK L. GRAHAM	ADVEC9	5534

7590 12/26/2001

GERARD H BENCEN
426 ANDERSON COURT
ORLANDO, FL 32801

EXAMINER

WOITACH, JOSEPH T

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1632	14

DATE MAILED: 12/26/2001

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

See Attachment

Applicant's Copy

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/286,874	Applicant(s) Graham, F. et al.
	Examiner Joseph T. Woitach	Group Art Unit 1632

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Joseph T. Woitach

(3) _____

(2) Joseph Fisher

(4) _____

Date of Interview Dec 18, 2001

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: all pending claims

Identification of prior art discussed:

None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant contacted Examiner regarding possible claim amendments which would put the application in condition for allowance. Upon discussion with Applicant and further review of the final office action, Examiner noted that if enablement issues were outstanding over an adenoviral vector gene delivery system as exemplified by claim 1, it was unclear how the specification could provide the necessary guidance to use the kit encompassed by claims 9 and 10. Examiner agreed that a product had need of only one enabled use, however from the prosecution history it was unclear what this use would be besides a delivery system for gene therapy protocols which seemed to be the only intended use of the vector system Examiner could find in the disclosure or applicants' arguments. Examiner indicated that finality would be withdrawn and that a new office action would be sent.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached


Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

*** TX REPORT ***

TRANSMISSION OK

TX/RX NO	4540
CONNECTION TEL	914072280329
SUBADDRESS	
CONNECTION ID	
ST. TIME	02/20 09:47
USAGE T	01 '09
PGS.	4
RESULT	OK

**Joseph Woitach
Patent Examiner (US-PTO)
Phone: 703-305-3732
Fax: 703-308-8724**



Fax

To: Joesph Fischer

Fax: (407) 228-0329

Pages: 4 (including cover)

Phone: (407) 228-0328

Date: February 20, 2002

Re: copy of Interview summary for 9/286,874

Urgent **For Review** **Please Comment** **Please Reply** **Please Recycle**


• Comments:

In response to your fax inquiry (sent Feb. 19, 2002), attached is a copy of the Interview summary which was mailed December 26, 2001. The file has been removed from my office to match-up a paper which is the Interview Summary returned by the post office .

As noted previously, it appears that the mailing address for this application in our system may not be current. The mailing address on the office action is 426 Anderson Court, and the address on the last mailing from your office is from 1630 Hillcrest Street.

Please contact me if you need any more information.

Joseph Woitach
Patent Examiner (US-PTO)
Phone: 703-305-3732
Fax: 703-308-8724



Fax

To: Joesph Fischer

Fax: (407) 228-0329

Pages: 4 (including cover)

Phone: (407) 228-0328

Date: February 20, 2002

Re: copy of Interview summary for 9/286,874

Urgent **For Review** **Please Comment** **Please Reply** **Please Recycle**

• Comments:

In response to your fax inquiry (sent Feb. 19, 2002), attached is a copy of the Interview summary which was mailed December 26, 2001. The file has been removed from my office to match-up a paper which is the Interview Summary returned by the post office.

As noted previously, it appears that the mailing address for this application in our system may not be current. The mailing address on the office action is 426 Anderson Court, and the address on the last mailing from your office is from 1630 Hillcrest Street.

Please contact me if you need any more information.

Sincerely,

Joseph T. Woitach